

Peer Review Process

Each year, *Inflection* publishes a small number of peer reviewed academic articles of up to 4500 words. *Inflection* uses a double-blind peer review process with reviewers drawn from a pool of Australian and international academics.

Authors wishing to submit a peer-reviewed article must first submit an abstract of up to 300 words by the abstract submission date. Abstracts should be emailed to submissions@inflectionjournal.com and clearly labelled 'submitted for peer review.' Abstracts (both peer review and non-peer review) will be evaluated by the *Inflection* editorial team according to the journal's selection criteria, and successful authors will be contacted. Following acceptance of an abstract, contributors will have until the final submission date to submit a complete, anonymised article.

In addition to complying with *Inflection's* stylistic guidelines, articles submitted for peer review must be anonymised before submission. The author's name or institutional affiliation must not appear in the article's body text or references. Any reference to the author's own work should be anonymised in the reference list as follows: “[Anonymous 2016] Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.” Authors should take care not to identify themselves in other ways, including through acknowledgements or reference to specific funding sources. Improperly anonymised submissions will be ineligible for peer review.

Correctly formatted articles submitted for peer review will be evaluated in three stages:

Stage 1:

The *Inflection* editorial team will screen all submitted pieces before submission to peer reviewers. The editorial team will consider the piece's suitability for publication in line with the *Inflection* submission criteria, and may choose to reject unsuitable pieces without peer review.

Stage 2:

Pieces judged suitable for review will be submitted anonymously to two suitable reviewers chosen by the *Inflection* academic advisory board. Each reviewer will recommend that the piece is either accepted for publication, accepted with changes or rejected. The *Inflection* editorial team will assess these reviews and make a decision on the basis of the reviewer's recommendations. Where two reviewers make different recommendations, the *Inflection* editorial team may, at its discretion, submit the article to a third reviewer.

Stage 3:

If reviewers recommend a piece is accepted with changes, it will be returned to the author for amendment and resubmission within two weeks. The resubmitted article must be accompanied by a document addressing all recommended changes point by point. Resubmissions that fail to address recommended changes may be rejected for publication at the discretion of the *Inflection* editorial team.

Authors of accepted pieces will be required to submit a final article with any anonymised names or references reinstated. The article will then go through *Inflection's* normal editing and layout process before publication.